

Date: Tue, 31 May 94 22:28:51 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #601
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Tue, 31 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 601

Today's Topics:

 10M open
 440 in So. Cal.
 Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 30 May
 IDing
 IPS Daily Report - 31 May 94
 Late Bulletin
 Legal Protections for Hams
 Please tell if you know the answer
 TH3 jr. Antenna HELP...

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sat, 28 May 1994 18:17:42 GMT
From: netcon!hatch!pro-janin!jestevez@locus.ucla.edu
Subject: 10M open
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In <2s2f6t\$hc0@u.cc.utah.edu>
cxn2624@u.cc.utah.edu (Christian X Nielsen) writes:

>
>10m was open on earlier this week at 10:30 pm mtn time. It was
>covering CA,AZ,WA,OR,NV, coming into Utah
>
>so check out 10 and if noone is on it still might be open, a quick
>CQ won't hurt.

>
>Jon KG7TE
>Christian KB7HAP
>

I've been listening to Argentina on 28.9-29.0 Mhz. Sometimes the signal is very strong... about S-9 with a vertical antenna.

Also, worked a few stations on packet, on 28.18-Mhz. When I tried the 29.6-Mhz FM, the signals dropped to an S-1.

The band is opening almost every afternoon, on a North-South path. There is a chance of working the Galapagos Islands, from Los Angeles, California. From 2200-0100 UTC, for those who hasn't work them on 10-Meters.

Christmas Islands check-ins, with an S-3 signal on my multi-band vertical antenna. While mobile, from my car... worked Puerto Rico from California on 28.31-Mhz.

When the band is active, most of the people are on 20-meters on top of each other. Why not try 10-meters instead...

73,
Joe - WB6SCT

Date: Wed, 1 Jun 1994 00:23:42 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!pacbell.com!pb2esac!jaminge@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: 440 in So. Cal.
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994May30.111450.24376@cs.brown.edu>, md@pstc3.pstc.brown.edu (Michael P. Deignan) writes:

> vanwag@netcom.com (George Van Wagner) writes:

>
>

(stuff deleted)

> I realize this may be a novel concept, but have you actually ever bothered
> to listen to some of these "closed" repeaters, find one which you like,
> and write to the repeater trustee asking for information on how to join
> the repeater?

>

>

(more stuff deleted)

> However, just because it is "closed" doesn't mean you can't get on
> it and use it. All you need to do is ask me. If you're polite and a
> good operator, then okay. (And yes, Dan, I even allow no-code techs on.
> In fact, they are the single largest group, by license class.) If you're

(more deleted)

I can't resist sharing an experience with trying to contact the folks
on a closed repeater... We discovered W6UE on 445.450 - (pl 100) in
the Glendale/NE LA/Pasadena area. It had only a trickle of users and
the coverage was perfect for our needs. We called the control operator
one evening to ask about using/joining it. This repeater appears to be
affiliated with CALTECH, although the repeater guide is useless there.
We were told in rather curt terms that no-code techs were not welcome
there. (Something about not being able to copy the repeater's ID..)
We were nice guys and let that sleeping dog lie there. Makes me wonder
what the reaction would be if we started communicating via CW on that
repeater. ;-)

-John

--

John Minger KE6DTC
Glendale, CA
<jaminge@PacBell.COM>

"Society is like a stew. If you
don't keep it stirred up, you get a
lot of scum on top." -Edward Abbey

Date: Mon, 30 May 1994 22:34:24 MDT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!quartz.ucs.ualberta.ca!alberta!
ve6mgs!usenet@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 30 May
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

/\

DAILY SUMMARY OF SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY

30 MAY, 1994

/\

(Based In-Part On SESC Observational Data)

SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY INDICES FOR 30 MAY, 1994

!!BEGIN!! (1.0) S.T.D. Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for DAY 150, 05/30/94
10.7 FLUX=069.4 90-AVG=083 SSN=000 BKI=5654 3434 BAI=035
BGND-XRAY=A1.0 FLU1=7.6E+06 FLU10=1.2E+04 PKI=5755 4444 PAI=044
BOU-DEV=071,155,088,060,033,040,029,054 DEV-AVG=066 NT SWF=00:000
XRAY-MAX= A5.8 @ 1337UT XRAY-MIN= A1.0 @ 2359UT XRAY-AVG= A2.5
NEUTN-MAX= +002% @ 1915UT NEUTN-MIN= -002% @ 2140UT NEUTN-AVG= -0.0%
PCA-MAX= +0.1DB @ 2340UT PCA-MIN= -0.2DB @ 0125UT PCA-AVG= +0.0DB
BOUTF-MAX=55354NT @ 0112UT BOUTF-MIN=55276NT @ 1723UT BOUTF-AVG=55310NT
GOES7-MAX=P:+000NT@ 0000UT GOES7-MIN=N:+000NT@ 0000UT G7-AVG=+080,+000,+000
GOES6-MAX=P:+144NT@ 2030UT GOES6-MIN=N:-108NT@ 0636UT G6-AVG=+106,+035,-032
FLUXFCST=STD:070,070,070;SESC:070,070,070 BAI/PAI-FCST=030,030,030/035,035,035
KFCST=4445 5444 4445 5444 27DAY-AP=045,023 27DAY-KP=6765 4333 4543 4433
WARNINGS=*GSTRM;*AURMIDWRN
ALERTS=**MINSTRM
!!END-DATA!!

NOTE: The Effective Sunspot Number for 29 MAY 94 is not available.
The Full Kp Indices for 29 MAY 94 are: 5- 6- 5- 5o 4o 5- 3+ 4-
The 3-Hr Ap Indices for 29 MAY 94 are: 40 74 37 49 26 38 19 22
Greater than 2 MeV Electron Fluence for 30 MAY is: 2.9E+08

SYNOPSIS OF ACTIVITY

Solar activity was very low. The sun is spotless. There were no events observed.

Solar activity forecast: solar activity is expected to be very low.

The geomagnetic field has been at active to major storm levels for the past 24 hours. Activity is most likely due to a well positioned, recurrent coronal hole. Energetic electron flux (GT 2 MeV) ranged from normal to high levels over the past 24 hours.

Geophysical activity forecast: the geomagnetic field is expected to be at active to storm levels for the entire forecast period. Major to severe storming is possible during local night time at high latitudes.

Event probabilities 31 may-02 jun

Class M 01/01/01
Class X 01/01/01
Proton 01/01/01
PCAF Green

Geomagnetic activity probabilities 31 may-02 jun

A. Middle Latitudes

Active 20/20/20
Minor Storm 30/30/30
Major-Severe Storm 25/25/25

B. High Latitudes

Active 15/15/15
Minor Storm 30/30/30
Major-Severe Storm 35/35/35

HF propagation conditions were below normal for most regions today. Conditions were significantly degraded at times during the local night hours, occasionally affecting propagation even over the low latitude regions. Sporadic-E has increased along with absorption and spread-F. MUFs are depressed up to 50 percent for transauroral paths, up to approximately 35 to 40 percent over the middle latitude paths, and near 30 to 35 percent over the low and equatorial regions. No significant changes are expected over the next several days.

COPIES OF JOINT USAF/NOAA SESC SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL REPORTS

=====

REGIONS WITH SUNSPOTS. LOCATIONS VALID AT 30/2400Z MAY

NMBR LOCATION LO AREA Z LL NN MAG TYPE
7728 S07W14 322 PLAGE
REGIONS DUE TO RETURN 31 MAY TO 02 JUNE
NMBR LAT LO
NONE

LISTING OF SOLAR ENERGETIC EVENTS FOR 30 MAY, 1994

BEGIN MAX END RGN LOC XRAY OP 245MHZ 10CM SWEEP
NONE

POSSIBLE CORONAL MASS EJECTION EVENTS FOR 30 MAY, 1994

```

-----
BEGIN      MAX      END      LOCATION  TYPE  SIZE  DUR  II IV
NO EVENTS OBSERVED

```

INFERRED CORONAL HOLES. LOCATIONS VALID AT 30/2400Z

```

-----
                ISOLATED HOLES AND POLAR EXTENSIONS
EAST  SOUTH WEST  NORTH CAR TYPE POL  AREA  OBSN
NO DATA AVAILABLE FOR ANALYSIS

```

SUMMARY OF FLARE EVENTS FOR THE PREVIOUS UTC DAY

```

-----
Date   Begin  Max   End  Xray  Op Region  Locn      2695 MHz  8800 MHz  15.4 GHz
-----
NO EVENTS OBSERVED.

```

REGION FLARE STATISTICS FOR THE PREVIOUS UTC DAY

```

-----
                C   M   X       S   1   2   3   4   Total   (%)
                --   --   --       --   --   --   --   --   ---   -
Uncorrelated:  0   0   0       0   0   0   0   0   000   ( 0.0)

```

Total Events: 000 optical and x-ray.

EVENTS WITH SWEEPS AND/OR OPTICAL PHENOMENA FOR THE LAST UTC DAY

```

-----
Date   Begin  Max   End  Xray  Op Region  Locn      Sweeps/Optical Observations
-----
NO EVENTS OBSERVED.

```

NOTES:

All times are in Universal Time (UT). Characters preceding begin, max, and end times are defined as: B = Before, U = Uncertain, A = After. All times associated with x-ray flares (ex. flares which produce associated x-ray bursts) refer to the begin, max, and end times of the x-rays. Flares which are not associated with x-ray signatures use the optical observations to determine the begin, max, and end times.

Acronyms used to identify sweeps and optical phenomena include:

II = Type II Sweep Frequency Event

III = Type III Sweep
IV = Type IV Sweep
V = Type V Sweep
Continuum = Continuum Radio Event
Loop = Loop Prominence System,
Spray = Limb Spray,
Surge = Bright Limb Surge,
EPL = Eruptive Prominence on the Limb.

** End of Daily Report **

Date: Tue, 31 May 1994 17:36:06 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.acns.nwu.edu!news.eecs.nwu.edu!tellab5!
jwa@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: IDing
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2s2p33\$coi@search01.news.aol.com> teacherjh@aol.com (Teacherjh) writes:

>In article <2rt9dh\$46r@master.cs.rose-hulman.edu>,
>derry@NeXTwork.Rose-Hulman.Edu (John Derry) writes:
>
>>> Also, if you say "What is your QTH?", what you are saying is
>>> "What is your what is your QTH?"
>
>No, QTH is "My location is".... QTH? is "What is your location?"
>
>So "What is your QTH?" is really "What is your (QTH)?" (parens for
>clarity).
>and, similarly, "What is your what is your location?" (I assume you
>meant that) would be rendered "What is your QTH??"
>

If you consult the your handy Amateur Radio Handbook, you will probably see that you are both right. QTH is a Q signal menaing "what is your location" or "my location is" The "Q" signals designed to be used as a question or an answer to a question.

Jack Albert WA9FVP
(815) 723-1874

Fellow Radio Hacker

Date: Tue, 31 May 1994 23:18:50 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!msuinfo!harbinger.cc.monash.edu.au!news.cs.su.oz.au!
metro!ipso!rwc@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: IPS Daily Report - 31 May 94
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SUBJ: IPS DAILY SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL REPORT
ISSUED AT 31/2330Z MAY 1994 BY IPS RADIO AND SPACE SERVICES
FROM THE REGIONAL WARNING CENTRE (RWC), SYDNEY.
SUMMARY FOR 31 MAY AND FORECAST UP TO 3 JUNE

IPS Warning 15 was issued on 26 May and is current for
interval 28 May to 7 June.

1A. SOLAR SUMMARY
Activity: very low

Flares: none.

Observed 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 069/003

1B. SOLAR FORECAST

	01 June	02 June	03 June
Activity	Very low	Very low	Very low
Fadeouts	None expected	None expected	None expected

Forecast 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 070/005

1C. SOLAR COMMENT
None.

2A. MAGNETIC SUMMARY
Geomagnetic field at Learmonth: unsettled to minor storm.

Estimated Indices :	A	K	Observed A Index 30 May
Learmonth	25	3435 4344	
Fredericksburg	30		35
Planetary	33		44

Observed Kp for 30 May: 5755 4444

2B. MAGNETIC FORECAST

DATE	Ap	CONDITIONS
01 Jun	35	Unsettled to minor storm.
02 Jun	35	Unsettled to minor storm.

03 Jun 30 Unsettled to minor storm.

2C. MAGNETIC COMMENT

disturbances expected until 7 June due to a coronal hole.

3A. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION SUMMARY

LATITUDE BAND

DATE	LOW	MIDDLE	HIGH
31 May	normal	fair-normal	fair

PCA Event : None.

3B. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION FORECAST

LATITUDE BAND

DATE	LOW	MIDDLE	HIGH
01 Jun	normal	normal-fair	fair
02 Jun	normal	normal-fair	fair
03 Jun	normal	normal	fair

3C. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION COMMENT

NONE.

4A. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC SUMMARY

MUFs at Sydney were near predicted monthly values to 20% depressed, with spread F observed during local night.

Observed T index for 31 May: 17

Predicted Monthly T Index for May is 30.

4B. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC FORECAST

DATE	T-index	MUFs
------	---------	------

01 Jun	15	Near predicted monthly values to 20% depressed.
02 Jun	15	Near predicted monthly values to 20% depressed.
03 Jun	20	Near predicted monthly values to 15% depressed.

4C. AUSTRALIAN REGION COMMENT

spread F conditions expected during local night.

Degraded HF comms expected until 8 June due to a well positioned coronal hole.

--

IPS Regional Warning Centre, Sydney
email: rwc@ips.oz.au fax: +61 2 4148331
RWC Duty Forecaster tel: +61 2 4148329
Recorded Message tel: +61 2 4148330

|IPS Radio and Space Services
|PO Box 5606
|West Chatswood NSW 2057
|AUSTRALIA

Date: 1 Jun 94 00:50:59 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Late Bulletin
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

HR AMSAT NEWS SERVICE BULLETIN 151.01 FROM AMSAT HQ
SILVER SPRING, MD MAY 31,1994
TO ALL RADIO AMATEURS BT
BID: \$ANS-151-01

CUBA TO BE ACTIVE ON OSCAR 13

Two special Cuban stations are planning to be active on OSCAR 13 beginning Thursday June 2 for about a week. Calls will be C00FRC operating from Grid Square EL83 and C00BGG operating from EL93. Both of these stations, along with C00EGZ in EL82, will also be active during ARRL VHF QSO Party which will be held June 4 through 6.

Date: 1 Jun 1994 03:37:55 GMT
From: yar.cs.wisc.edu!jhanson@rsch.wisc.edu
Subject: Legal Protections for Hams
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I am a candidate for the Wisconsin legislature this fall and (as an Extra who cares about ham radio concerns) would like to prepare a sheet for my district's ham population. What I am interested in is ideas for state laws that hams would appreciate...

Ideas I have so far include:

- 1) Opposition to scanner/radio bans, etc.
- 2) Adoption of PRB-1 (with possible revisions) into statutory form (I know about federal preemption, but this would make cases easier for hams...)
- 3) Developing stronger partnerships between state and ARES/RACES, etc.

Any input (or money <grin>) you could provide would be appreciated!

--
Jason J. Hanson | 22 Langdon Street #220 | (608) 256-1004
Univ. of Wisconsin | Madison, WI 53703-1344 | Ham: N9LEA (Extra)
-- jhanson@yar.cs.wisc.edu =***= n9lea@wd9esu.#scwi.wi.usa.noam --

Date: Tue, 31 May 1994 20:26:50 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!
martha.utcc.utk.edu!misrael.utmemo.edu!user@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Please tell if you know the answer
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I have posted this on the CB net, so if you have already read it, ignore it, unless, of course, you know the answer.

A few years ago I saw this great movie about a "CB avenger". This guy went out and tracked down illegal CB and Ham users. I can't seem to remember the name of this movie, so if anyone knows what the heck I'm talking about, please post a response. I will be most grateful.

as my baby sister says:

QRST nd thtanding by....(She's just learning the alphabet)

Q: Break for a radio check.

A: I've got mine.

HA HA HA

Date: Wed, 1 Jun 1994 03:24:28 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!msuinfo!harbinger.cc.monash.edu.au!
bunyip.cc.uq.oz.au!un!ar014@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: TH3 jr. Antenna HELP...
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Could someone help me please...I am after the assembly dimentions of a TH3 jr. Tri-band beam.
Thanks in advance..

73's Alan VK4AWR

Date: Tue, 31 May 1994 17:42:53 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.acns.nwu.edu!news.eecs.nwu.edu!tellab5!
jwa@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2rqst\$1q2@search01.news.aol.com>,
<1994May26.125414.8157@tellab5.tellabs.com>, <CqFnt4.Bn9@news.Hawaii.Edu>

Subject : Re: "for ID"

In article <CqFnt4.Bn9@news.Hawaii.Edu> jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>
>That's done so that their VOX continues to key the xmtr; otherwise at
>every pause their xmtr would drop off and you'd miss the first syllable
>(at least in the older gear) of their next spoken word.
>
>Jeff NH6IL
>

But I hear it on two meters!

Date: 31 May 94 23:22:59 GMT
From: news.delphi.com!BIX.com!hamilton@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <CqH55w.4A0@ss3.magec.com>, <2sf6gh\$qci@paperboy.gsfc.nasa.gov>,
<2sg46q\$f6h@usenet.pa.dec.com>o
Subject : Re: "The Rhythm of the Code" tape

chavis@shr.dec.com writes:

>Nothing like waking up in the morning hearing
>DIT DAH DAH ALPHA

On my tape, they always pronounced that WHISKEY. :-)

Still, I know what you mean. Years ago, I borrowed some code tapes from a US Naval Reserve station in Lansing, Michigan (!) while I was in high school. They were filled with individual characters followed by a somber baritone:

That was <pause for effect> ALPHA...

The problem was there was really no practice, just individual characters, so I never did learn the code that way. But I still remember...

That was <pause for effect> BRAVO...

Regards,
Doug Hamilton KD1UJ hamilton@bix.com Ph 508-358-5715

Hamilton Laboratories, 13 Old Farm Road, Wayland, MA 01778-3117, USA

Date: Wed, 1 Jun 1994 03:08:08 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
rogjd@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2sfn3r\$okg@network.ucsd.edu>, <1994May31.173353.21886@cs.brown.edu>,
<1994May31.193141.18921@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>ú
Subject : Re: Ham Radio few problem

Gary Coffman (gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us) wrote:

: In article <1994May31.173353.21886@cs.brown.edu> md@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu
(Michael P. Deignan) writes:

: >

: >As the trustee of a coordinated closed repeater, if anyone told me that I had
: >a choice to either "open" my machine or loose coordination, I would opt for
: >choice number three: protect my legal, FCC-recognized closed repeater
: >coordination via litigation.

: And after enriching the lawyers to the tune of several thousands or tens
: of thousands of dollars, you could still lose. The FCC does *not* recognize

Hey, what's wrong with enriching lawyers? I start law school in a few
months. Let him litigate, for goshsakes!

: closed repeater *coordination*. All they recognize is closed repeater
: *operation*. They recognize coordination status *only* in resolving mutual
: interference issues without regard to issues of closed or open. The FCC
: doesn't mandate a particular coordination policy. Coordination policy is
: a public policy issue internal to the amateur community, made and administered
: by local amateurs, and courts have traditionally been reluctant to overturn
: such policies absent a compelling public benefit.

: Gary

: --

Gary, you are exactly right, and I agree with all of the above. Forgive
my little fun :-)

--

rogjd@netcom.com
Glendale, CA
AB6WR

Date: 31 May 1994 21:26:34 -0700
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!netline-fddi.jpl.nasa.gov!nntp-server.caltech.edu!news.claremont.edu!kaiwan.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <rogjdCqMnyJ.565@netcom.com>, <2seid0\$702@kaiwan.kaiwan.com>,
<2sfhir\$r4g@tymix.Tymnet.COM>edu
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

Dick Flanagan (flanagan@niagara.Tymnet.COM) wrote:

> But Mr. Buffington isn't proposing any balance--reasonable or otherwise:
> "If you aren't open, you aren't coordinated."

> It sounds like he wants to throw out twenty years of legitimate coordination
> because =he= doesn't like it. Let's not lose sight of the fact the FCC
> explicitly permits closed repeaters.

> Sounds like a simple case of the "have nots" wanting to throw out the "haves."

Well I was agreeing with the sentiment more than the statement. It's not as simple as you think. The band is ours. We want to use it, efficiently and fairly. In fact, we'll cooperate with a fair, open coordination effort eagerly.

However, there is ground to be made up. First, there are too many "closed" repeaters in relationship to the few "open" ones. The ones that are open are placed on shared frequencies. There are also far too many "paper" repeaters.

I just learned today of a renegade open repeater being placed on a splinter of two paper repeaters. If the system was better administered, crap like that could be avoided.

--

____[Robb Topolski]____[San Clemente, CA]____[topolski@kaiwan.com]____

Date: Wed, 1 Jun 1994 05:05:47 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
rogjd@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <rogjdCqMnyJ.565@netcom.com>, <2seid0\$702@kaiwan.kaiwan.com>,
<2sfhir\$r4g@tymix.Tymnet.COM>≥^a

Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

Dick Flanagan (flanagan@niagara.Tymnet.COM) wrote:

: In article <2seid0\$702@kaiwan.kaiwan.com> topolski@kaiwan.com (Robb Topolski KJ6YT) writes:

: >Roger Buffington (rogjd@netcom.com) wrote:

: >> 440 in Southern California needs a new coordinating body and a new
: >> coordinating philosophy, it's as simple as that. I propose the following
: >> as a starter: "If you aren't open, you aren't coordinated."
: >

: >I couldn't agree more. In fact, I'd even be happy with "any new
: >coordinations must be open and remain open for a minimum of 12 months"
: >until some kind of reaonsable balance is struck.

: But Mr. Buffington isn't proposing any balance--reasonable or otherwise:
: "If you aren't open, you aren't coordinated."

: It sounds like he wants to throw out twenty years of legitimate coordination
: because =he= doesn't like it. Let's not loose sight of the fact the FCC
: explicitly permits closed repeaters.

: Sounds like a simple case of the "have nots" wanting to throw out the "haves."

: Dick

: --

: Dick Flanagan, W6OLD
: dick@libelle.com

w6old@n6qmy.#nocal.ca.usa.na
CIS:73672,751 GENIE:FLANAGAN

That's right Dick, OB. 99% of the amateurs in Southern California are the have nots, and the good old boys who have assigned themselves pretty much all of 440 are the haves. And I'm for throwing them out...you have that quite right.

It's a scandal. I mean, there is practically no point in owning a dual band (2m/440) rig in Southern California. Maybe back in the old days, when 440 was exotic and little used, except by pioneers, it made sense to give it away to a few guys. But in this crowded time, with hundreds of new hams getting VHF privileges every month, it makes no sense at all.

The contrast between the wholesome and well-wrought band plan on 2 meters with the desolate desert of 440 in Southern California is stark. It cries out for correction.

73

--

rogjd@netcom.com
Glendale, CA
AB6WR

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #601
